

ON CONTROL OF SOBOLEV NORMS FOR SOME SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS WITH LOCALIZED DATA

TRISTAN ROY

ABSTRACT. Consider the semilinear wave equations in dimension 3 with a power-type nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u &= -|u|^{p-1}u \\ u(0, x) &:= u_0(x) \\ \partial_t u(0, x) &:= u_1(x) \end{cases}$$

, $3 \leq p < 5$ and data (u_0, u_1) in the $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ ($s < 1$) closure of smooth functions that are compactly supported inside the ball $B(0, R)$. We establish new bounds of the H^s norms of the solution. In particular, we prove that the H^s norm of the high frequency component of the solution grows like $T^{\sim(1-s)^2+}$ in a neighborhood of $s = 1$. In order to do that, we first perform an analysis in a neighborhood of the cone, using the finite speed of propagation, an almost Shatah-Struwe estimate [19], an almost conservation law and a low-high frequency decomposition [3, 5]: this allows to establish a decay estimate at each time and consequently, to estimate the low frequency component of the H^s norm of the position. Then, in order to find an upper bound of the H^s norm of the high frequency component of the position and the H^{s-1} norm of the velocity, we estimate the variation of another almost conservation law by using this decay estimate.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the semilinear wave equations on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a defocusing power-type nonlinearity:

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u = -|u|^{p-1}u$$

with data $u(0) := u_0$, $\partial_t u(0) := u_1$.

The existence of smooth solutions of (1.1) for all time has received a great deal of attention from the community. This problem was addressed in [8] for subcritical powers (i.e $p < 5$). The critical power (i.e $p = 5$) was solved in [14] for small data, in [22] for large and radial data and in [7] for large and general data. No result is known for the supercritical powers, i.e $p > 5$.

The next step is to construct solutions of (1.1) with rougher data. In this paper we restrict ourselves to the subcritical and superconformal exponents, i.e $3 \leq p < 5$. It is known (see [10]) that (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s > \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. By that we mean that

The notation $\sim f(s)$ means that there exists $\alpha(s)$ defined in a neighborhood of 1 such that $\sim f(s) := \alpha(s)f(s)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1-} \alpha(s) = C$ with $C > 0$.

- given $(u_0, u_1) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ there exists $T_l > 0$ and a unique $(u, \partial_t u)$ lying in a subspace of $\mathcal{C}([0, T_l], H^s) \times \mathcal{C}([0, T_l], H^{s-1})$ such that u satisfies the Duhamel formula for all $t \in [0, T_l]$, i.e

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) &= \cos(tD)u_0 + \frac{\sin(tD)}{D}u_1 - \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-t')D)}{D} \left[|u|^{p-1}(t')u(t') \right] dt' \\ &:= \Psi_t(u_0, u_1) \end{aligned}$$

- $(u_0, u_1) \rightarrow \Psi_t(u_0, u_1)$ is uniformly continuous in the $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ topology

Moreover the time of local existence T_l depends on the size of the initial data, i.e $T_l := (\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}})^{-1}$. Here H^s is the standard inhomogeneous Sobolev space i.e H^s is the completion of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} := \|\langle D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

, where D is the operator defined by

$$\widehat{\langle D \rangle f}(\xi) := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi)$$

and $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform, i.e

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

By the local well-posedness theory, the global behavior of H^s solutions of (1.1) is closely related to the growth of the Sobolev norms $\|(u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}$ for $T < T_*$ where T_* is the maximal time of existence. In particular, if one can find a finite bound of $\|(u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}$ for all time T , then one can prove that the H^s solutions of (1.1) exist for all time T . The equation (1.1) enjoys the following energy conservation law

$$(1.3) \quad E(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx$$

Therefore, by using this energy conservation law, we immediately see that H^1 -solutions of (1.1) exist for all time. It remains to better understand the global behavior of H^s -solutions of (1.1) if $s < 1$. This question is delicate since there is no known conservation law at these levels of regularity. It has been studied in [9, 6, 1, 16, 17] (see [11] for higher dimensions). To our knowledge, the best results regarding the optimal index of regularity for which the solution exists for $p = 3$ and for all time T are obtained in [17] for general data ($s > \frac{13}{18}$) and in [16] for radial data ($s > \frac{7}{10}$).

The purpose of this paper is to improve the bounds of the H^s norms of the solution for a class of rough and localized data, that is, $(u_0, u_1) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R)) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R))$, where $R > 0$ is an arbitrary but fixed positive number and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R)) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R))$ is the closure of smooth and compactly supported functions inside the ball $B(0, R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}$ with respect to the $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ topology. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be the solution of (1.1) with data $(u_0, u_1) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R)) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(0, R))$. Let*

$$\theta := \frac{4p-12}{(p-1)(7-p)}$$

Then, if $1 > s > s_p := 1 - \frac{(5-p)(1-\theta)}{2(p+1)}$ and $T \geq 1$

(1.4)

$$\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)^2 + (2\theta+p-1)s - (\frac{2\theta+p-1}{1-\theta} - \frac{5-p}{2})}{(\frac{5-p}{2} - \frac{(1-s)(p+1)}{1-\theta})}}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3p-5}{p+1}} \lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s) + (p+1)(\frac{5-p}{2} - \frac{(1-s)(p+1)}{1-\theta})}{(p+1)(\frac{5-p}{2} - \frac{(1-s)(p+1)}{1-\theta})}}$$

Comparison with the existing results: we shall compare the results with [9, 17, 16].

- $p = 3$ ¹: then one gets from (1.4) and (1.5)

$$\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)^2}{(4s-3)(2s-1)} +}$$

and

$$\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-1} \lesssim T^{\frac{1-s}{4s-3} +}$$

Comparison with [17, 16]. We recall the method used in these papers in order to estimate $\|P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2$ and $\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2$. If $s = 1$ then it is pretty easy to estimate these norms by using the conservation of the energy (1.3). If $s < 1$ then one cannot use the energy by itself since it can be infinite. Instead one introduces the following functional

$$(1.6) \quad E(I_N u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |I_N u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx$$

, where the multiplier I_N is defined by

$$\widehat{I_N f}(\xi) = m_N(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$$

$m_N(\xi) := \eta\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)$, η is a smooth, radial, nonincreasing function in $|\xi|$ such that

$$\eta(\xi) := \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1-s}}, & |\xi| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and $N \gg 1$ is a dyadic number playing the role of a parameter to be chosen. This is the I -method, designed in [5] and inspired from the Fourier truncation method, designed in [3]. The main interest of introducing this multiplier I_N is that (1.6) is finite in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ for $s < 1$. Moreover the variation of (1.6) is expected to be slow for $N \gg 1$, since the multiplier I_N is close to the identity. Once we have estimated the variation of $E(I_N u(t))$ for a well-chosen $N \gg 1$, we can easily estimate for data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ the norms of the solution through the following inequalities

$$(1.7) \quad \|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_N u(t))$$

¹the cubic power has attracted much attention from the community: see recent work regarding probabilistic well-posedness in [4]

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 &\lesssim T^2 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\partial_t I_N u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^2 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_N u(t)) \end{aligned}$$

In the case of data $(u_0, u_1) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(O, R)) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(O, R))$, we can upgrade (1.8) using the finite speed of propagation and (2.8) in the following fashion

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3}{2}} &\lesssim T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|I_N u(T)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\sim T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|I_N u(T)\|_{L^2(B(0, R+1+T))}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|I_N u(T)\|_{L^4(B(0, R+1+T))}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_N u(t)) \end{aligned}$$

By using this method and by an adapted linear-nonlinear decomposition, it was proved in [17] that the solution of (1.1) exists globally for $s > \frac{13}{18}$ and, moreover,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_N u(t)) \lesssim T^{\frac{8(1-s)}{18s-13}} +$$

Hence, for data $(u_0, u_1) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(O, R)) \times \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty}(B(O, R))$, we have

$$\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{8(1-s)}{18s-13}} +$$

and

$$\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)}{18s-13}} +$$

So our result is an improvement up to $s > \frac{51+\sqrt{17}}{68} \approx 0.81$.

Comparison with [16]. Under the additional assumption of radial symmetry, it was proved in [16] by the use of a weighted Morawetz estimate and a radial Sobolev inequality that the solution of (1.1) exists globally for $s > \frac{7}{10}$ and, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_N u(t)) &\lesssim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{2s-1}} +, \quad 1 > s \geq \frac{5}{6} \\ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_N u(t)) &\lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)}{10s-7}} +, \quad \frac{5}{6} \geq s > \frac{7}{10} \end{aligned}$$

So we get in a similar fashion

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 &\lesssim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{2s-1}} +, \quad s \geq \frac{5}{6} \\ \|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 &\lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)}{10s-7}} +, \quad \frac{5}{6} \geq s > \frac{7}{10} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3}{2}} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}} +, \quad 1 > s \geq \frac{5}{6} \\ \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3}{2}} &\lesssim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{10s-7}} +, \quad \frac{5}{6} \geq s > \frac{7}{10} \end{aligned}$$

So the improvement holds up to $s > \frac{5}{6}$.

Comparison with [9]. The comparison can only be partial since the authors considered data in slightly different spaces, i.e $(u_0, u_1) \times \dot{H}^s \cap L^4 \times \dot{H}^{s-1}$. It was proved in [9] that the solution exists globally for $s > \frac{3}{4}$. Consider data (u_0, u_1) in the closure of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(O, R)) \times \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(O, R))$ with respect to the $H^s \times \dot{H}^{s-1}$ topology. Then

$$\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{4s-3}+}$$

and

$$\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1-s}{4s-3}+}$$

So the improvement holds up to $s > s_3 = \frac{3}{4}$.

- $3 < p < 5$ It was proved in [9] that the solution exists globally for $1 > s > s_p = \frac{26p-3p^2-39}{2(p-1)(7-p)}$. Moreover if we consider data (u_0, u_1) in the closure of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(O, R)) \times \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(O, R))$ with respect to the $H^s \times \dot{H}^{s-1}$ topology, then

$$\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{2(1-s)}{1-s-\beta}+}$$

and

$$\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 T^{-\frac{3(p-1)}{p+1}} \lesssim T^{\frac{4(1-s)}{(p+1)(1-s-\beta)}+}$$

with $\beta := \frac{p-3}{2} + p(1-s) - 1 + \frac{2(1-s)(p-1)}{5-p}$. So the improvement holds up to $s > s_p$.

Conclusion:

- $\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2$ grows more slowly for localized data in a neighborhood of $s = 1$ than those found in [17, 16, 9]. Indeed, it grows like $T^{\sim(1-s)^2+}$ instead of $T^{\sim(1-s)+}$. If $5 > p > 3$ then the improvement holds up to s_p .
- $\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2$ grows more slowly for localized data in a neighborhood of $s = 1$ than the one found in [9]. Indeed it grows like $T^{\frac{3p-5}{p+1}+}$ in a neighborhood of $s = 1$ instead of $T^{\frac{3(p-1)}{p+1}+}$. If $5 > p > 3$ then the improvement holds up to s_p .

Remark 1.2. If $3 < p < 5$ notice that, to our knowledge, the use of the Morawetz estimate and the use of the radial Sobolev inequality have not been implemented for radial data; the adapted linear-nonlinear decomposition has not been implemented for general data but we expect the same phenomena to occur as $p = 3$, i.e the improvement should hold for $\tilde{s}_p < s < 1$ with $\tilde{s}_p$ a number satisfying $1 > \tilde{s}_p > s_p$.

We set some notation that appear throughout the paper.

Let W be the set of wave-admissible points, i.e

$$W := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y) \in (2, \infty] \times [2, \infty), \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

Let $\tilde{W}$ be the dual set of W , i.e

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{W} := \left\{ (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2, \exists (x, y) \in W \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x'} = 1, \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y'} = 1 \right\}$$

Given $m \in [0, 1]$, we say that (q, r) is m -wave admissible if

- $(q, r) \in W$

²The notation x grows like T^y in a neighborhood of $s = 1$ means that there exists $\alpha(s)$ defined in a neighborhood of $s = 1$ such that $x = T^{y+\alpha(s)}$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1-} \alpha(s) = 0$

- (q, r) satisfies $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{3}{r} = \frac{3}{2} - m$
- $q > 2+$ if $m = 1$

Given $(x_0, R_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, let $B(x_0, R_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| < R_0\}$. Let χ be a smooth function supported on $B(0, 2)$ and such that $\chi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$. Let $\chi_{R_0}(x) := \chi\left(\frac{x}{R_0}\right)$. We say that $x \lesssim y$ if there exists $0 < C := C(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, R)$ such that $x \leq Cy$. We say that $\tilde{C}$ is the constant determined by $x \lesssim y$ if $\tilde{C}$ is the smallest constant such that $x \leq Cy$ holds. More generally, given $n \geq 1$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that $x \lesssim_{a_1, \dots, a_n} y$ if there exists $C := C(a_1, \dots, a_n, \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, R) > 0$ such that $x \leq Cy$. We say that $x \lesssim_{\infty-} y$ if for every $q \geq 1$, there exists $C := C(q, \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, R) > 0$ such that $x \leq Cy^q$. We say that $x \ll y$ if there exists $0 < c := c(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, R) \ll 1$ such that $x \leq cy$. In a similar fashion we extend this definition to $x \ll_{a_1, \dots, a_n} y$. Some estimates that we establish throughout the paper require a Paley-Littlewood decomposition. We set it up now. Let $\phi(\xi)$ be a real, radial, nonincreasing function that is equal to one on $B(O, 1)$ and that is supported on $B(O, 2)$. Let ψ denote the function $\psi(\xi) := \phi(\xi) - \phi(2\xi)$. Let $\tilde{\psi}$ denote the function $\tilde{\psi}(\xi) := \phi(\frac{\xi}{8}) - \phi(8\xi)$. If $(M, M_1, M_2) \in (2^{\mathbb{N}^*})^3$ are three dyadic numbers such that $M_2 \geq M_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{P_{\leq M} f}(\xi) &:= \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
\widehat{P_M f}(\xi) &:= \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), M > 1 \\
\widehat{P_{< M} f}(\xi) &:= \widehat{P_{\leq \frac{M}{128}} f}(\xi) \\
\widehat{P_{\gtrsim M} f}(\xi) &:= \hat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{P_{< M} f}(\xi) \\
\tilde{\phi}(\xi) &:= \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right) - \phi(4\xi) \\
\widehat{\tilde{P}_M f}(\xi) &= \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \\
P_{M_1 \leq \cdot \leq M_2} f &:= P_{\geq M_2} f - P_{< M_1} f
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $f = P_{< M} f + P_{\gtrsim M} f$ and that $\tilde{P}_M P_M = P_M$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{R_0}(J) &:= \{(t, x) : t \in J, t > |x| - R_0\} \\
\partial K_{R_0}(J) &:= \{(t, x) : t \in J, t = |x| - R_0\} \\
K_{R_0}^c(J) &:= \{(t, x) : t \in J, t < |x| - R_0\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E(I_N u(t)) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |I_N u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \\
E_{R_0, sec}(I_N u(t)) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq t+R_0} |\partial_t I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq t+R_0} |\nabla I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{|x| \leq t+R_0} |I_N u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \\
E_{R_0, ext}(I_N u(t)) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| > t+R_0} |\partial_t I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| > t+R_0} |\nabla I_N u(t, x)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{|x| > t+R_0} |I_N u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \\
Flux(I_N u, \partial K_{R_0}([a, b])) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\partial K_{R_0}([a, b])} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\nabla I_N u \cdot x}{|x|} + \partial_t I_N u \right|^2 + \frac{|I_N u|^{p+1}}{p+1} d\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Given J and interval and f a differentiable in time and smooth function let

$$Z_{m,s}(J, f) := \sup_{(q,r)-m-wave adm} \|\partial_t D^{-m} I_N f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(J)} + \|D^{1-m} I_N f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(J)}$$

and

$$Z(J, f) := \sup_{m \in [0,1]} Z_{m,s}(J, f)$$

If we work with the same parameter N , then we forget it in all the expressions where it appears in order to simplify the notation and we write I for I_N . Let $R' := R + 1$. Let $s_c := \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$.

With this notation in mind, we now recall two propositions. The Strichartz estimates can be stated as follows:

Proposition 1.3. “Strichartz estimates” [10] *Assume that u satisfies the following wave equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \Delta u &= G \\ u(0, x) &:= u_0(x) \\ \partial_t u(0, x) &:= u_1(x) \end{cases}$$

Let $T \geq 0$. Then, if $m \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(J)} + \|\partial_t D^{-1}u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(J)} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^m(J)} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^m(J)} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} L_x^{\tilde{r}}(J)} \end{aligned}$$

under the following assumptions

- (q, r) is m -wave admissible
- $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ satisfies the following conditions
 - (1) $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) \in \tilde{W}$
 - (2) $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{3}{\tilde{r}} - 2 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{3}{r}$

The second proposition shows that the initial mollified energy at time 0 is finite in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ and in fact bounded by $N^{2(1-s)}$:

Proposition 1.4. “Initial mollified energy at time 0 is bounded by $N^{2(1-s)}$ ” [16] *There exists $C_E := C_E(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}) > 0$ such that*

$$(1.10) \quad E(Iu_0) \leq C_E N^{2(1-s)}$$

Now we explain the main ideas of this paper. It is well-known that the long-time behavior of solutions of semilinear wave equations with a defocusing nonlinearity is closely related to the Morawetz-type decay estimates. In [16], a mollified variant of the Morawetz-Strauss estimate [13] was used, namely

$$(1.11) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^4}{|x|} dx dt \lesssim E$$

, in the study of the long-time behavior of solutions of (1.1) with $p = 3$ and data in $H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s < 1$. Under the assumption of the radial symmetry, one was able to control a mollified variant of

$$(1.12) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6(t, x) dx dt \lesssim E^2$$

, by combining (1.11) with a radial Sobolev estimate, namely

$$|u(t, x)| \lesssim \frac{\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}}{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Notice that a mollified variant of (1.12) is pretty useful for regularity purposes since it is a decay estimate with respect to a mollified variant of the energy. Therefore it is useful to estimate the H^s norms of the solution “far” from $s = 1$ ³. But notice that (1.11) is a weighted estimate and the decay is slow with respect to time, and even after combining this inequality with the radial Sobolev inequality, one loses integrability in time. In [17], an adapted linear-nonlinear decomposition based upon the fact that the nonlinear part of the solution is smoother than H^s was performed but this tool can only be used for regularity purposes and it does not yield information regarding the asymptotic behavior of the solution, in particular close to $s = 1$. In the study of the energy-critical wave equation (i.e $p = 5$), a Morawetz-type estimate using the scaling multiplier inside the cone was used, namely⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq T+R} |u|^6(T, x) dx &\lesssim \frac{R}{T+R} E + E_{R,sec}(u(T)) - E_{R,sec}(u(0)) \\ &\quad + (E_{R,sec}(u(T)) - E_{R,sec}(u(0)))^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

This estimate with general data is a weak decay since it is only valid inside the cone and depends on the flux

$$\begin{aligned} Flux(u, \partial K_{R_0}([0, T])) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\partial K_{R_0}([0, T])} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\nabla u \cdot x}{|x|} + \partial_t u \right|^2 + \frac{|u|^6}{6} d\sigma \\ &= E_{R,sec}(u(T)) - E_{R,sec}(u(0)) \end{aligned}$$

But, if we work with compactly supported data, then it is much stronger since the flux on the boundary vanishes and, by finite speed of propagation, the solution is localized inside a cone. Getting back to (1.1), it is worth trying to establish a decay estimate by using the same multiplier for these data. One finds that, for $3 \leq p \leq 5$,

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{|x| \leq T+R} |u|^{p+1}(T, x) dx \lesssim \frac{R}{T+R} E$$

Notice that this estimate, unlike (1.11), is “unweighted” and pointwise in time. Therefore, it can be used everywhere. The next step is to find the right framework in which we can use this estimate in rougher spaces, i.e $H^s \times H^{s-1}$, $s < 1$. It seems natural to choose data $(u_0, u_1) \in \overline{C_c^\infty}(B(O, R)) \times \overline{C_c^\infty}(B(0, R))$, where the closure is taken with respect to the $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ topology. Then we would like to use a low-high frequency decomposition [3, 5] in order to estimate the H^s norms of the solution. By introducing the multiplier I , one would like to

- (1) compare the H^s norms of the solution with the mollified energy $E(Iu(T))$
- (2) estimate the slow variation of $E(Iu(T))$ by using Strichartz estimates and a decay looking like

$$(1.14) \quad \int_{|x| \leq R+T} |Iu(T, x)|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \frac{R}{T+R} E(Iu(0)) + Error\ Terms$$

³More precisely, the computations show that it is useful close to $s = \frac{7}{10}$: see [16] for more information

⁴see for example [2]

, the error terms coming from the fact that the multiplier I does not commute with the nonlinearity.

But before starting the procedure, one must be careful. Indeed, recall that the decay estimate (1.13) is useful since the solution with data supported in $B(0, R)$ is supported inside a cone. The introduction of the multiplier I in the Fourier domain kills the localization of the data and consequently, the localization of the solution inside the cone. But although we cannot perform an analysis inside the cone, we manage to perform an analysis in a neighborhood of it and outside it in order to control all the error terms: see Proposition 2.4, Proposition 2.5 and their proofs. (1.14) is established in Proposition 2.5. This is enough to establish (1.5): see the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of (1.14) relies upon the variation of a mollified energy $E(I_{N_0}u)$. One cannot use this mollified energy in order to find an upper bound of $\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2$ of the solution since

- the error appearing in the process of proving (1.14) is more difficult to control than that appearing in the process of estimating the variation of $E(I_{N_0}u)$.
- both errors involve the same parameter N_0

The idea is to introduce a new parameter N_1 , a new mollified energy $E(I_{N_1}u)$ and to use this decay estimate in order to control the variation of $E(I_{N_1}u)$: see Section 2.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, assuming that some propositions are true. We now state these propositions.

This first proposition, proved in Section 4, shows that if we have an a priori bound of the mollified energy on an interval J , then we can control $Z(J, u)$ assuming that J is small in some sense (see (2.2) and (2.3)):

Proposition 2.1. “Local Boundedness” *Let u be a solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Let $J := [a, b] \subset [0, T]$. Assume that*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{t \in J} E(Iu(t)) \lesssim N^{2(1-s)}$$

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that if

$$(2.2) \quad \|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(K_{R'}(J))}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} |J|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)+}{p+1}} N^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)} \leq \epsilon$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad |J|^+ \leq \epsilon N^{((p-1)s - (p-1 - \frac{5-p}{2})) +}$$

, then

$$Z(J, u) \lesssim N^{1-s}$$

The second proposition, proved in Section 3 shows that we have a partial decay estimate of the potential term of the mollified energy. The decrease is partial since only the first term of the right-hand side of (2.4) shows that there is decay:

Proposition 2.2. “Partial Decay Estimate Of Potential Term Of Mollified Energy” Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Let u be a solution of (1.1) on $[a, b]$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{|x| \leq b+R} |Iu(b, x)|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \frac{a+R}{b+R} E(Iu(a)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(b+R)} \int_{\partial K_R([a, b])} \frac{|\nabla Iu \cdot x + (t+R)\partial_t Iu + Iu|^2}{t+R} d\sigma \\ + \frac{1}{b+R} \int_{K_R([a, b])} \left[\frac{\Re \left((t+R)\partial_t Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu + Iu \right)}{(|Iu|^{p-1} Iu - I(|u|^{p-1} u))} \right] dz$$

The third proposition, proved in Section 7, in an estimate of an integral

Proposition 2.3. “Estimate Of Integral” Let J be an interval and let w be a function. Then

$$(2.5) \quad \|I(|w|^{p-1}w) - |Iw|^{p-1}Iw\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} \lesssim \frac{Z^p(J, w)|J|^+}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}$$

The fourth proposition, proved in Section 5, shows that if a function is localized, then its smoothness is also more or less localized:

Proposition 2.4. “Spatial Concentration Of Smoothness” Let $(R_0, L, R'_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $R_0 > \frac{1}{N^{1-}}$, $0 < L \lesssim N$ and $R'_0 - R_0 \geq \frac{L}{N}$. Let $q \geq 1$. Let f be a smooth function supported on the ball $B(O, R_0)$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \|If\|_{L^q(|x| \geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|If\|_{L^q}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \|\nabla If\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} (\|If\|_{L^2(|x| \leq R_0)} + \|\nabla If\|_{L^2})$$

In particular, if $R'_0 := R_0 + 1$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \|If\|_{L^q} \sim \|If\|_{L^q(|x| \leq R'_0)}$$

The last proposition, proved in Section 6, shows that, for a large class of potential terms of mollified energies defined by (2.9), the decay is total. The proof uses the results from Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3, Proposition 2.4 and finite speed of propagation

Proposition 2.5. “Final Decay Estimate For A Class Of Potential Terms Of Mollified Energies” Let u be a solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Assume that

$$(2.9) \quad N_0^{\frac{5-p}{2} - \frac{(1-s)(p+1)}{1-\theta}} \gg \langle T \rangle^{1+}$$

Let $t \in [0, T]$. Then we have

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{|x| \leq R'+t} |I_{N_0} u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \frac{R'}{R'+t} N_0^{2(1-s)}$$

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.1.

We first estimate $\|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2$. We have, by finite speed of propagation and (2.8)

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{<1}u(T)\|_{H^s}^2 &\lesssim \|I_{N_0}u(T)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\sim \|I_{N_0}u(T)\|_{L^2(B(O,R'+T))^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{3p-5}{p+1}} N_0^{\frac{4(1-s)}{p+1}} \end{aligned}$$

(1.5) follows from optimizing the last inequality in N_0 , in view of the constraint (2.9).

Next we estimate $\|(P_{>1}u(T), \partial_t u(T))\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2$. We define

$$F_T = \left\{ t \in [0, T], \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(I_{N_1}u(t)) \leq CN_1^{2(1-s)} \right\}$$

for N_1 such that

$$(2.12) \quad N_1 = CN_0^{\frac{2(1-s)}{1-\theta} s - \left(\frac{2\theta+p-1}{1-\theta} - \frac{5-p}{2} \right)} + \langle T \rangle +$$

and for $C := C(\|u_0, u_1\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, R)$ fixed and large enough such that all the estimates below are true. We claim that $F_T = [0, T]$. Indeed

- $0 \in F_T$
- F_T is closed by continuity
- F_T is open. Indeed let $\tilde{T} \in [0, T]$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\tilde{T} \in (T' - \delta, T' + \delta) \cap [0, T]$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \tilde{T}]} E(I_{N_1}u(t)) \leq 2CN_1^{2(1-s)}$$

We aim at proving that in fact

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \tilde{T}]} E(I_{N_1}u(t)) \leq CN_1^{2(1-s)}$$

We divide $[0, \tilde{T}]$ into subintervals $(J_j = [j-1, j])_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ and we partition each J_j into subintervals $J_{j,k}$ such that $|J_{j,k}| = \epsilon' \left(\frac{R'+j}{R'} \right)^{1-\frac{(s-1)\theta(p+1)}{1-\theta}} N_1^{\frac{(s-1)\theta(p+1)}{1-\theta}} - N_0^{2(s-1)-}$, with ϵ' a fixed constant such that $\epsilon' \ll \epsilon$ a fixed constant (ϵ is the constant defined in Proposition 2.1), except maybe the last one. We see from (2.8) and (2.10) that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|I_{N_1}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(K_{R'}(J_{j,k}))}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} |J_{j,k}|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} + N_1^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)} \\ &\lesssim \|I_{N_1}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(J_{j,k})}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} |J_{j,k}|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} + N_1^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)} \\ &\lesssim \|I_{N_0}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(J_{j,k})}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} |J_{j,k}|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} + N_1^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)} \\ &\lesssim \|I_{N_0}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(K_{R'}(J_{j,k}))}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} |J_{j,k}|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} + N_1^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)} \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

, since $N_1 \ll N_0$. By Proposition 2.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(I_{N_1} u(\tilde{T})) - E(I_{N_1} u_0)| &= \left| \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t I_{N_1} u} \left(|I_{N_1} u|^{p-1} I_{N_1} u - I_{N_1}(|u|^{p-1} u) \right) \right) dx dt \right| \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j,k} \|\partial_t I_{N_1} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J_{j,k})} \|I_{N_1}(|u|^{p-1} u) - |I_{N_1} u|^{p-1} I_{N_1} u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J_{j,k})} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_1^{(p+1)(1-s)} N_1^{\frac{(1-s)\theta(p+1)}{1-\theta}} + N_0^{2(1-s)+\langle T \rangle}}{N_1^{\frac{5-p}{2}}} \\
&<< N_1^{2(1-s)}
\end{aligned}$$

, by our choice of N_1 .

Therefore we see from this inequality and (1.10) that (2.13) holds.

Combining (2.13) with (2.9) and (2.12) and optimizing in N_0 we see that (1.4) holds, by (1.7).

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2

In this section we prove Proposition 2.2.

Letting $\tilde{u}$ be such that $\tilde{u}(t+R, x) := u(t, x)$, we see, by finite speed of propagation, that we may assume, without loss of generality, that $R = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Re \left(\overline{(t\partial_t Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu + Iu)} (\partial_{tt} Iu - \Delta Iu + I(|u|^{p-1} u)) \right) = \\
&\Re \left(\overline{(t\partial_t Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu + Iu)} (\partial_{tt} Iu - \Delta Iu + |Iu|^{p-1} Iu) \right) \\
&+ \Re \left(\overline{(t\partial_t Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu + Iu)} (I(|u|^{p-1} u) - |Iu|^{p-1} Iu) \right) \\
&= A_1 + A_2
\end{aligned}$$

We use an argument from Shatah-Struwe [19] to estimate A_1

$$A_1 = \partial_t P - \nabla \cdot Q$$

with

$$P := \frac{t}{2} |\partial_t Iu|^2 + \frac{t}{2} |\nabla Iu|^2 + \Re \left((x \cdot \nabla Iu) \overline{\partial_t Iu} \right) + t \frac{|Iu|^{p+1}}{p+1} + \Re (Iu \overline{\partial_t Iu})$$

and

$$Q := t \Re (\overline{\partial_t Iu} \nabla Iu) + \Re ((x \cdot \nabla Iu) \overline{\nabla Iu}) - \frac{|\nabla Iu|^2}{2} x + \frac{(\partial_t Iu)^2}{2} x - x \frac{|Iu|^{p+1}}{p+1} + \Re (\overline{Iu} \nabla Iu)$$

Integrating by part A_1 inside $K_0([a, b])$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad H(b) = H(a) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} \left(P + Q \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) d\sigma$$

with

$$H(t) = \int_{|x| \leq t} P(t, x) dx$$

We have

$$H(t) = \int_{|x| \leq t} \left[\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{2} \left(|\partial_t Iu|^2 + \left| \nabla Iu + \frac{Iux}{|x|^2} \right|^2 \right) \\ & - t \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|Iu|^2 x}{2|x|^2} \right) + \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t Iu} (Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu) \right) \\ & + t \frac{|Iu|^{p+1}}{p+1} \end{aligned} \right] dx$$

One one hand, by Hardy's inequality and integration by part, we see that

$$(3.2) \quad H(t) + \int_{|x|=t} \frac{|Iu|^2(t,x)}{2} d\sigma \lesssim tE(Iu(t))$$

On the other hand, since

$$|\partial_t Iu(Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu)| \leq \frac{t}{2} \left((\partial_t Iu)^2 + \left| \nabla Iu + \frac{Iux}{|x|^2} \right|^2 \right), \quad |x| \leq t$$

we see, after integration by part, that

$$(3.3) \quad t \int_{|x| \leq t} \frac{|Iu|^{p+1}}{p+1} dx - \int_{|x|=t} \frac{|Iu|^2}{2} d\sigma \leq H(t)$$

and, on $\{(t, x), t \in [a, b], t = |x|\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{t}{2} |\partial_t Iu|^2 + \frac{t}{2} |\nabla Iu|^2 + \frac{t}{p+1} |Iu|^{p+1} + \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t Iu} (x \cdot \nabla Iu + Iu) \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{2} |\partial_t Iu|^2 + \frac{t}{2} \left(|(Iu)_r|^2 + \frac{|(Iu)_\perp|^2}{r^2} \right) + \frac{t}{p+1} |Iu|^{p+1} + \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t Iu} (r(Iu)_r + Iu) \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{2} |\partial_t Iu + (Iu)_r|^2 + \frac{1}{2r} |(Iu)_\perp|^2 + \frac{t}{p+1} |Iu|^{p+1} + \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t Iu} Iu \right) \end{aligned}$$

,

$$Q \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} = t \Re \left(\overline{\partial_t Iu} (Iu)_r \right) + \frac{r}{2} |(Iu)_r|^2 - \frac{|(Iu)_\perp|^2}{2r} + \frac{r |\partial_t Iu|^2}{2} - \frac{r |Iu|^{p+1}}{p+1} + \Re \left(\bar{Iu} (Iu)_r \right)$$

and

$$P + Q \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} = t |\partial_t Iu + (Iu)_r|^2 + \Re \left(\bar{Iu} (\partial_t Iu + (Iu)_r) \right)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} P + Q \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} \frac{|\nabla Iu \cdot x + t \partial_t Iu + Iu|^2}{t} d\sigma - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} \frac{\Re \left(\bar{Iu} (t \partial_t Iu + x \cdot \nabla Iu) \right) + |Iu|^2}{t} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} \frac{|\nabla Iu \cdot x + t \partial_t Iu + Iu|^2}{t} d\sigma - \int_{a \leq |y| \leq b} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|Iv|^2 y}{2|y|} \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{M_a^b} \frac{|\nabla Iu \cdot x + t \partial_t Iu + Iu|^2}{t} d\sigma - \left(\int_{|y|=b} \frac{|Iu|^2(b,y)}{2} d\sigma - \int_{|y|=a} \frac{|Iu|^2(a,y)}{2} d\sigma \right) \end{aligned}$$

, where $v(y) := u(|y|, y)$. We conclude from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) that (2.4) holds (with $R = 0$).

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1

In this section we prove Proposition 2.1. Throughout the proof we constantly use (2.8). Let $J = [a, b]$. Let

$$\bar{Z}(J, u) := \sup \{ Z_{1,s}(J, u), Z_{s_c,s}(J, u), Z_{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{5}{2p},s}(J, u) \}$$

First we estimate $Z_{1,s}(J, u)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad Z_{1,s}(J, u) &\lesssim \|(\partial_t Iu(a), DIu(a))\|_{L^2} + \|u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^{1-s} + \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)}^{p-1} P_{<<N}u + \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)}^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N}u \\
&\quad + \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)}^{p-1} P_{<<N}u + \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)}^{p-1} P_{\gtrsim N}u \\
&\lesssim N^{1-s} + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from our choice of R' , Bernstein's inequality, (2.2) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^+ |J|^+ \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^8 L_x^8(J)}^{\theta(p-1)} \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{p+1} L_x^{p+1}(J)}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{\infty-}(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^+ |J|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} \|Iu\|_{L_t^8 L_x^8(J)}^{\theta(p-1)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(J)}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{\infty-}(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^+ |J|^{\frac{(1-\theta)(p-1)}{p+1}} \|Iu\|_{L_t^8 L_x^8(J)}^{\theta(p-1)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{p+1}(K_{R'}(J))}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} \|Iu\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{\infty-}(J)} \\
&\lesssim o\left(\frac{\bar{Z}^{\theta(p-1)+1}(J, u)}{N^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)-}}\right) \\
A_2 &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{p-1} L_x^{\frac{6(p-1)}{p-3}}(J)}^{p-1} \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{6}{6-p}}(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^+ |J|^+ \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{(p-1)+} L_x^{\frac{6(p-1)}{p-3}-}(J)}^{p-1} \frac{\|DIu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J)}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim |J|^+ N^{(1-s)+} \frac{\bar{Z}^{p-1}(J, u)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
A_3 &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^+ |J|^+ \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \|D^{1-s_c} Iu\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} \|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{\infty-}(J)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{|J|^+}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \bar{Z}^p(J, u)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^p L_x^{2p}(J)}^p \\
&\lesssim \frac{\|D^{1-(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{5}{2p})}u\|^p}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z_{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{5}{2p},s}^p(J, u)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate $Z_{m,s}(J, u)$ for $m \in \left\{s_c, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{5}{2p}\right\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{m,s}(J, u) &\lesssim \|(\partial_t Iu(a), DIu(a))\|_{L^2} + \|D^{1-m}I(|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{1+m}} L_x^{\frac{2}{2-m}}(J)} \\
&\lesssim N^{1-s} + \|D^{1-m}Iu\|_{L_t^{\frac{2}{m}} L_x^{\frac{2}{1-m}}(J)} \|u\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} \\
&\lesssim N^{1-s} + Z_{m,s}(J, u) \left(\|P_{<<N}u\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} + \|P_{\gtrsim N}u\|_{L_t^{2(p-1)} L_x^{2(p-1)}(J)}^{p-1} \right) \\
&\lesssim N^{1-s} + \frac{\bar{Z}^{\theta(p-1)+1}(J, u)}{N^{(1-s)\theta(p-1)-}} + \frac{|J|^+}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \bar{Z}^p(J, u)
\end{aligned}$$

where, at the last line, we used similar arguments to estimate A_1 and A_3 .

Now, by combining all the estimates above and by a continuity argument, we see that $\bar{Z}(J, u) \lesssim N^{1-s}$.

In particular we see that $Z_{1,s}(J, u) \lesssim N^{1-s}$. By (2.1), we also have $Z_{0,s}(J, u) \lesssim$

N^{1-s} . Now, by interpolating between $m = 0$ and $m = 1$, we see that $Z_{m,s}(J, u) \lesssim N^{1-s}$ also holds if $m \in (0, 1)$.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.4

In this section we prove Proposition 2.4.
First we prove the following lemma

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$(5.1) \quad \|P_{<N}(\chi_{R_0}g)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|g\|_{L^q(|x|\leq R_0)}$$

$$(5.2) \quad \|\nabla P_{<N}(\chi_{R_0}g)\|_{L^2(|x|\geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N^{\infty-}} \left(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(|x|\leq R_0)} + \frac{1}{R_0} \|g\|_{L^2(|x|\leq R_0)} \right)$$

$$(5.3) \quad \|P_M I(\chi_{R_0}g)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{N^{1-s}}{M^{1-s}} \left(\frac{N}{LM} \right)^{\infty-} \|g\|_{L^q(|x|\leq R_0)}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \|\nabla P_M I(\chi_{R_0}g)\|_{L^2(|x|\geq R'_0)} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{N^{1-s}}{M^{1-s}} \left(\frac{N}{LM} \right)^{\infty-} \left(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(|x|\leq R_0)} + \frac{1}{R_0} \|g\|_{L^2(|x|\leq R_0)} \right)$$

Proof. We prove (5.1). We have

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{<N}(\chi_{R_0}g)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} &\lesssim \|N^3 \int \check{\phi}(100Ny) \chi_{R_0}(x-y) g(x-y)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} N^3 \|g\|_{L^q(|x|\leq R_0)} \int_{|Ny|\geq L} \frac{1}{|Ny|^{\infty-}} dy \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|g\|_{L^q(|x|\leq R_0)} \end{aligned}$$

, the third inequality following from Minkowski's inequality, the supports of the functions, and the fast decay of $\check{\phi}$.

The proof of (5.2) is a straightforward modification of the proof of (5.1): it is left to the reader.

In order to prove (5.3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P_M I f}(\xi) &= \frac{N^{1-s}}{M^{1-s}} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad M \gg N \\ \widehat{P_M I f}(\xi) &= \tilde{\psi}'\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad M \sim N \end{aligned}$$

, with $\tilde{\psi}'$ being a localized bump function around $|\xi| \sim 1$ (like ψ or $\tilde{\psi}'$). Next we follow the same steps, as in (5.5), noticing that $R'_0 - R_0 \gtrsim \frac{LM}{M}$

□

Now we prove (2.6) and (2.8). (2.8) is an easy consequence of (2.6). So it is enough to prove (2.6). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|If\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} &\lesssim \|P_{<N} I(\chi_{R_0}f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} + \|P_{\gtrsim N} I(\chi_{R_0}f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &= A_1 + A_2 \end{aligned}$$

We deal with A_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}(\chi_{R_0} P_{<<N} f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} + \sum_{M\sim N} \|P_{<<N}(\chi_{R_0} P_M f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M>>N} \|P_{<<N}(\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_M P_M f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &= A_{1,1} + A_{1,2} + A_{1,3} \end{aligned}$$

So by applying Lemma 5.1 we see that

$$A_{1,1}, A_{1,2} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|If\|_{L^q}$$

Next we turn to $A_{1,3}$. The kernel K_M of $P_{<<N}(\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_M)$ is

$$(5.6) \quad K_M(x, y) := \int \int \phi\left(\frac{128\xi}{N}\right) \widehat{\chi_{R_0}}(\xi - \eta) \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{\eta}{M}\right) e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{-i\eta \cdot y} d\xi d\eta$$

We get the pointwise bound

$$(5.7) \quad |K_M(x, y)| \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{R_0^3 N^3 M^3}{|R_0 M|^{\infty-}}$$

On the other hand, by stationary phase in the direction of ξ we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(5.8) \quad |K_M(x, y)| \lesssim_{k, \infty-} \frac{R_0^{3+k} N^3 M^3}{(R_0 M)^{\infty-|x|^k}}$$

and, by stationary phase in the direction of η we have

$$(5.9) \quad |K_M(x, y)| \lesssim_{k, \infty-} \frac{R_0^{3+k} N^3 M^3}{(R_0 M)^{\infty-|y|^k}}$$

Hence we see that

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |K_M(x, y)| &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{(MR_0)^{\infty-}} (MR_0)^3 \min\left(\frac{1}{(MR_0|x|)^3}, 1\right) \\ |K_M(x, y)| &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{(MR_0)^{\infty-}} (MR_0)^3 \min\left(\frac{1}{(MR_0|y|)^3}, 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and, by Schur's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,3} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \sum_{M>>N} \frac{1}{(MR_0)^{\infty-}} \|P_M f\|_{L^q} \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|If\|_{L^q} \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with A_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\lesssim \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N, M_2 >> M_1} \|P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_{M_2} P_{M_2} f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N} \|P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} P_{<<N} f)\|_{L^q(|x|\geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N, N \lesssim M_2 \lesssim M_1} \|P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} P_{M_2} f)\|_{L^q} \\ &= A_{2,1} + A_{2,2} + A_{2,3} \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in estimating $A_{2,2}$ and $A_{2,3}$. Using (5.3) we see, after summation, that

$$(5.11) \quad A_{2,2}, A_{2,3} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|If\|_{L^q}$$

We are interested in estimating $A_{2,1}$. The kernel of the operator $P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_{M_2})$ is

$$K_{M_1, M_2}(x, y) = \int \int \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{M_1}\right) \frac{N^{1-s}}{|\xi|^{1-s}} \widehat{\chi_{R_0}}(\xi - \eta) \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{\eta}{M_2}\right) e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{-i\eta \cdot y} d\xi d\eta$$

By slightly modifying the steps from (5.7) to (5.9) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{M_1, M_2}(x, y)| &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{(M_2 R_0)^{\infty-}} (M_2 R_0)^3 \min\left(\frac{1}{(M_2 R_0 |x|)^3}, 1\right) \\ |K_{M_1, M_2}(x, y)| &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{(M_2 R_0)^{\infty-}} (M_2 R_0)^3 \max\left(\frac{1}{(M_2 R_0 |y|)^3}, 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and by Schur's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,1} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N, M_2 \gg M_1} \frac{1}{(M_2 R_0)^{\infty-}} \|P_{M_2} f\|_{L^q} \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|If\|_{L^q} \end{aligned}$$

We turn to (2.7). We have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.12) \quad \|\nabla If\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} &\lesssim \|\nabla P_{<<N} I(\chi_{R_0} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} + \|\nabla P_{\gtrsim N} I(\chi_{R_0} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \\ &= B_1 + B_2 \end{aligned}$$

By decomposition and the boundedness of Riesz potentials we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P_{<<N} I(\chi_{R_0} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} &\lesssim \|\nabla P_{<<N} (\chi_{R_0} P_{<<N} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M \sim N} \|\nabla P_{<<N} (\chi_{R_0} P_M f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M \gg N} \|DP_{<<N} (\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_M P_M)\|_{L^2} \\ &= B_{1,1} + B_{1,2} + B_{1,3} \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in estimating $B_{1,1}$ and $B_{1,2}$. We see from (5.2), Lemma 5.1, that

$$B_{1,1} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \left(\|\nabla If\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{R_0} \|If\|_{L^2(|x| \leq R_0)} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{1,2} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \sum_{M \sim N} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \left(\|\nabla P_M f\|_{L^2} + \frac{\|P_M f\|_{L^2}}{R_0} \right) \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|\nabla If\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in estimating $B_{1,3}$. Again, the kernel of the operator $DP_{<<N}(\chi_{R'_0} \tilde{P}_M)$ is given by

$$K_M(x, y) := N \int \int \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{128\xi}{N}\right) \widehat{\chi_{R_0}}(\xi - \eta) \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{\eta}{M}\right) e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{-i\eta \cdot y} d\xi d\eta$$

, where $\tilde{\phi}$ is a localized bump function around $B(O, 1)$, like ϕ . By repeating the steps from (5.7) to (5.9) we see that (5.10) holds. Therefore, by Schur's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} B_{1,3} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \sum_{M \gg N} \frac{1}{(MR_0)^{\infty-}} \|P_M f\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|\nabla If\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with B_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P_{\gtrsim N} I(\chi_{R_0} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} &\lesssim \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N, M_2 \gg M_1} \|DP_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} \tilde{P}_{M_2} P_{M_2} f)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N} \|\nabla P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} P_{<<N} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{M_1 \gtrsim N, N \lesssim M_2 \lesssim M_1} \|\nabla P_{M_1} I(\chi_{R_0} P_{M_2} f)\|_{L^2(|x| \geq R'_0)} \\ &= B_{2,1} + B_{2,2} + B_{2,3} \end{aligned}$$

We see from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2,2} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \left(\|\nabla I f\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{R_0} \|I f\|_{L^2(|x| \leq R_0)} \right) \\ B_{2,3} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|\nabla I f\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $B_{2,1}$, we follow similar steps to those to estimate $A_{2,1}$. We find

$$B_{2,1} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{L^{\infty-}} \|\nabla I f\|_{L^2}$$

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.5

In this section we prove Proposition 2.5.

We define the following set

$$F_T := \left\{ T' \in [0, T], \begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T']} E(I_{N_0} u(t)) \leq C_1 N_0^{2(1-s)} \\ &\int_{|x| \leq t+R'} |I_{N_0} u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \leq C_2 \frac{R'}{t+R'} N_0^{2(1-s)}, t \in [0, T'] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We claim that $F_T = [0, T]$ for some constants $C_1 := C_1(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}) > 0$, $C_2 := C_2(\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}) > 0$ fixed and large enough such that all the estimates below are true. Indeed

- $F_T \neq \emptyset$: indeed $0 \in F_T$ by (1.10) and the elementary estimate $\|I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \lesssim E(I_{N_0} u_0)$
- F_T is closed by continuity
- F_T is open. Indeed let $T' \in F_T$. Then, by continuity in time, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\tilde{T} \in (T' - \delta, T' + \delta) \cap [0, T]$ and for all $t \in [0, \tilde{T}]$

$$(6.1) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \tilde{T}]} E(I_{N_0} u(t)) \leq 2C_1 N_0^{2(1-s)}$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{|x| \leq t+R'} |I_{N_0} u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \leq 2C_2 \frac{R'}{R'+t} N_0^{2(1-s)}$$

We aim at proving that in fact

$$(6.3) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \tilde{T}]} E(I_{N_0} u(t)) \leq C_1 N_0^{2(1-s)}$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{|x| \leq t+R'} |I_{N_0} u(t, x)|^{p+1} dx \leq C_2 \frac{R'}{R'+t} N_0^{2(1-s)}$$

To this end

- we divide $[0, \tilde{T}]$ into subintervals $(J_j := [j-1, j])_{1 \leq j \leq J}$
- we partition each J_j into subintervals $(J_{j,k})_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ such that $|J_{j,k}| = \tilde{\epsilon} \left(\frac{j+R'}{R'} \right)^{1-} N_0^{\frac{s-1}{1-\theta}((p-1)\theta+2)-}$, with $\tilde{\epsilon}$ a fixed positive constant such that $\tilde{\epsilon} < \epsilon$ (ϵ is defined in Proposition 2.1).

Notice that there are $\sim_{R'} \langle T \rangle^+ N_0^{\frac{1-s}{1-\theta}(\theta(p-1)+2)+}$ subintervals $J_{j,k}$. Notice also from (6.1), (6.4) and Proposition 2.1 that $Z(J_{j,k}, u) \lesssim N_0^{1-s}$. Therefore we get after iterating Proposition 2.3 over j and k

$$(6.5) \quad \|I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u) - |I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, \tilde{T}])} \lesssim \frac{\langle T \rangle + N_0^{\frac{1-s}{1-\theta}(\theta(p-1)+2)+} N_0^{p(1-s)}}{N_0^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, \tilde{T}]$

$$\begin{aligned} |E(I_{N_0}u(t)) - E(I_{N_0}u_0)| &\lesssim \|\partial_t I_{N_0}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([0, t])} \|I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u) - |I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, t])} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle T \rangle + N_0^{\frac{1-s}{1-\theta}(\theta(p-1)+2)+} N_0^{(p+1)(1-s)}}{N_0^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{100} C_E N_0^{2(1-s)} \end{aligned}$$

, the last inequality following from our choice of N_0 : see (2.9). Therefore (6.3) holds.

Next we turn to the proof of (6.4). Using (2.4) with $a := 0$ and $b := \tilde{T}$ we have

$$\int_{|x| \leq \tilde{T}+R'} |I_{N_0}u(\tilde{T}, x)|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \frac{R'}{\tilde{T}+R'} N_0^{2(1-s)} + X_1 + \sum_{j,k} X_{2,j,k}$$

with

$$X_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\tilde{T}+R')} \int_{\partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])} \frac{|\nabla I_{N_0}u \cdot x + (t+R')\partial_t I_{N_0}u + I_{N_0}u|^2}{t+R'} d\sigma$$

and

$$X_{2,j,k} := \frac{1}{\tilde{T}+R'} \int_{K_{R'}(J_{j,k})} \left[\frac{\Re((t+R')\partial_t I_{N_0}u + x \cdot \nabla I_{N_0}u + I_{N_0}u)}{(|I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u - I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u))} \right] dz$$

First we estimate X_1 . We write

$$\begin{aligned} (6.6) \quad X_1 &\lesssim \int_{\partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])} \left| \frac{\nabla I_{N_0}u \cdot x}{|x|} + \partial_t I_{N_0}u \right|^2 d\sigma + \int_{\partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])} \frac{|I_{N_0}u|^2}{|t+R'|^2} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim Flux(I_{N_0}u, \partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])) + Flux^{\frac{2}{p+1}}(I_{N_0}u, \partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])) \left(\frac{1}{R'^{\frac{5-p}{p-1}}} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{T}+R')^{\frac{5-p}{p-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

, where we applied Hölder inequality at the last step.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Re(\overline{\partial_t I_{N_0}u}(\partial_{tt} I_{N_0}u - \Delta I_{N_0}u - I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u))) \\ &= \Re(\overline{\partial_t I_{N_0}u}(\partial_{tt} I_{N_0}u - \Delta I_{N_0}u - |I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u)) + \Re(\overline{\partial_t I_{N_0}u}(|I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u - I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u))) \end{aligned}$$

we see that, after integration by part of this identity on $K_{R'}^c([0, \tilde{T}])$ that

$$\begin{aligned} Flux(I_{N_0}u, \partial K_{R'}([0, \tilde{T}])) + E_{R', ext}(I_{N_0}u(\tilde{T})) &= E_{R', ext}(I_{N_0}u_0) \\ &\quad + \int_{K_{R'}^c([0, \tilde{T}])} \Re(\overline{\partial_t I_{N_0}u}(I_{N_0}(|u|^{p-1}u) - |I_{N_0}u|^{p-1}I_{N_0}u)) dz \\ &= Z_{1,1} + Z_{1,2} \end{aligned}$$

We estimate $Z_{1,1}$ and $Z_{1,2}$. By (2.7) and (6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^2(|x|\geq R')} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}} \left(\|I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^2(|x|\leq R')} + \|\nabla I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}} \left(\|I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^{p+1}(|x|\leq R')} + \|\nabla I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (2.6), (6.3) and the finite speed of propagation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{N_0} u_0\|_{L^{p+1}(|x|\geq R')} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}} \\
\|\partial_t I_{N_0} u(t)\|_{L^2(|x|\geq R'+t)} &\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Z_{1,1} \lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}}$$

and, using also (6.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.7) \quad Z_{1,2} &\lesssim \|\partial_t I_{N_0} u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(K_R^c, ([0, \tilde{T}]))} \|I_{N_0} (|u|^{p-1} u) - |I_{N_0} u|^{p-1} I_{N_0} u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2([0, \tilde{T}])} \\
&\lesssim_{\infty-} \frac{1}{N_0^{\infty-}}
\end{aligned}$$

We turn to $X_{2,j,k}$. By Hölder inequality and (6.2) we see that, for $t \in J_{j,k}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{N_0} u(t)\|_{L_x^2(|x|<t+R')} &\lesssim (t+R')^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2(p+1)}} \|I_{N_0} u(t)\|_{L_x^{p+1}(|x|<t+R')} \\
&\lesssim (t+R')^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2(p+1)}} N_0^{\frac{2(1-s)}{p+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

and, combining this estimate with Proposition 2.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_{2,j,k}| &\lesssim \|(\partial_t I_{N_0} u, \nabla I_{N_0} u, I_{N_0} u)\|_{(L_t^\infty L_x^2(J_{j,k}))^3} \|I_{N_0} (|u|^{p-1} u) - |I_{N_0} u|^{p-1} I_{N_0} u\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J_{j,k})} \\
&\lesssim \frac{N_0^{(p+1)(1-s)}}{N_0^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}$$

and, after iterating over j and k we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|\leq R'+\tilde{T}} |I_{N_0} u(\tilde{T}, x)|^{p+1} dx - C_{pot} \frac{R'}{R'+\tilde{T}} N_0^{2(1-s)} &\lesssim \frac{N_0^{(p+1)(1-s)} \langle T \rangle + N_0^{\frac{1-s}{1-\theta}(\theta(p-1)+2)+}}{N_0^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&<< C_{pot} \frac{R'}{R'+\tilde{T}} N_0^{2(1-s)}
\end{aligned}$$

with C_{pot} constant determined by (2.4). So (6.4) holds.

7. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.3

In this section we prove Proposition 2.3. Let $F(x) := |x|^{p-1}x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|IF(w) - F(Iw)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} &\lesssim \|IF(w) - F(w)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} + \|F(w) - F(Iw)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} \\
&= X_1 + X_2
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate X_1 (see [15] for a similar argument):

$$\begin{aligned}
F(w) &= F(P_{<<N}w + P_{\gtrsim N}w) \\
&= F(P_{<<N}w) + \left(\int_0^1 |P_{<<N}w + sP_{\gtrsim N}w|^{p-1} ds \right) P_{\gtrsim N}w \\
&\quad + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{P_{<<N}w + sP_{\gtrsim N}w}{P_{<<N}w + sP_{\gtrsim N}w} |P_{<<N}w + sP_{\gtrsim N}w|^{p-1} ds \right) \overline{P_{\gtrsim N}w}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N}F(P_{<<N}w)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} + \|P_{\gtrsim N}w|P_{<<N}w|^{p-1}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} + \| |P_{\gtrsim N}w|^p \|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} \\
&= X_{1,1} + X_{1,2} + X_{1,3}
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{1,1} &\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|\nabla F(P_{<<N}w)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(J)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{N} \|P_{<<N}w\|_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}} L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}}(J)}^{p-1} \|\nabla P_{<<N}w\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}} L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}}(J)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} |J|^+ \|Iw\|_{L_t^{\frac{4(p-1)}{7-p}} L_x^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p-3}}(J)}^{p-1} \|D^{1-(\frac{p-3}{2})}Iw\|_{L_t^{\frac{4}{p-3}} L_x^{\frac{4}{5-p}}(J)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{|J|^+ Z^p(J,w)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate $X_{1,2}$, $X_{1,3}$ by using similar arguments to estimate A_2 and A_3 respectively in the proof of Proposition 2.1. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad X_{1,2} &\lesssim \|P_{<<N}w\|_{L_t^{p-1} L_x^{\frac{6(p-1)}{p-3}}(J)}^{p-1} \|P_{\gtrsim N}w\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{6}{5-p}}(J)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{|J|^+ Z^p(J,w)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{1,3} &\lesssim \|P_{\gtrsim N}w\|_{L_t^p L_x^{2p}(J)}^p \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,w)^{\frac{5-p}{2}-s}}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{Z^p(J,w)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}
\end{aligned}$$

We turn to X_2 . We write

$$\begin{aligned}
F(w) - F(Iw) &= (w - Iw)O(|Iw|^{p-1} + |w|^{p-1}) \\
&= (w - Iw)|P_{<<N}w|^{p-1} + (w - Iw)|P_{\gtrsim N}Iw|^{p-1} + (w - Iw)|P_{\gtrsim N}w|^{p-1} \\
&= Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate Y_1 by using similar arguments to estimate $X_{1,2}$ and we estimate Y_2 and Y_3 by using similar arguments to estimate $X_{1,3}$. We find

$$(7.2) \quad X_2 \lesssim \frac{|J|^+ Z^p(J,w)}{N^{\frac{5-p}{2}-}}$$

So we proved (2.5).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri and Jean-Yves Chemin, *On global well-posedness for defocusing cubic wave equation*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 54873, 12 pp
- [2] H. Bahouri and P. Gerard, *High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations*, AJM, Vol 121, Number 1, Feb 1999, pp 131-175
- [3] J. Bourgain, *New global well-posedness results for non-linear Schrödinger equations*, AMS Publications, 1999
- [4] N. Burq and N. Tzvetkov, *Probabilistic well-posedness for the cubic wave equation*, preprint
- [5] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, *Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Math. Res. Letters 9 (2002), pp. 659-682
- [6] I. Gallagher and F. Planchon, *On global solutions to a defocusing semi-linear wave equation*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 19, 2003, pp. 161-177
- [7] M. Grillakis, *Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity*, Ann of Math 132 (1990), 485-509
- [8] Jörgens, K *Das Anfangswertproblem im Grossen für ein Klasse nichtlinearer Wellengleichungen*, Math. Z. 77 (1961), 295-308
- [9] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *Global well-posedness for semi-linear wave equations*, Communications in partial differential equations 25 (2000), pp. 1741-1752
- [10] H. Lindblad, C. D Sogge, *On existence and scattering with minimal regularity for semilinear wave equations*, J. Func. Anal 219 (1995), 227-252
- [11] C. Miao and B. Zhang, *H^s - global well-posedness for semilinear wave equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283 (2003) 645-666
- [12] C. Morawetz, *Time decay for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 306 (1968), 291-296
- [13] C. Morawetz and W. Strauss, *Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1972), pp 1-31
- [14] J. Rauch, *The u^5 Klein-Gordon equation*, nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications (H. Brezis and J. L Lions, eds), Pitman, Boston, 1982, pp 335-364
- [15] T. Roy, *Introduction to scattering for radial 3D NLKG below energy norm*, J. Differential Equations 248 (2010), no. 4, 893-923
- [16] T. Roy, *Global well-posedness for the radial defocusing cubic wave equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and for rough data*, EJDE, 166, 2007, 1-22
- [17] T. Roy, *Adapted Linear-Nonlinear Decomposition And Global Well-posedness For Solutions To The Defocusing Cubic Wave Equation On $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Disc. Cont. Dynam. Systems A, Vol 24, Number 4, 1307-1323
- [18] J. Shatah, M. Struwe *Geometric Wave Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2 (1998)
- [19] J. Shatah, M. Struwe, *Regularity results for nonlinear wave equations*, Annals of Mathematics 138 (1993), 503-518
- [20] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1993
- [21] W. A. Strauss, *Nonlinear wave equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, no 73, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1989
- [22] M. Struwe, *Globally regular solutions to the u^5 Klein-Gordon equations*. Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa 15 (1988), 495-513

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: triroy@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp